

• Goals:

- Develop a fully-coupled groundwater-land-surface -Comprehensively evaluate hydrologic and su
- balance predictions with high-frequency data at a rich site

Model and data

- A land-surface module is incorporated into the Integrated Hydrologic Model 2.0 (PIHM 2.0) -Fully coupled surface water, groundwater, an components
- -Land-surface scheme is mainly adapted from the
- Susquehanna/Shale Hills Observatory (SSHC Pennsylvania (0.08 km²)
- -Small-scale V-shaped catchment with 1st order stre -Real Time Hydrologic monitoring network (RTHnet of land-surface and sub-surface sensors is installe



 Model grid ----Actual wa — Model str ----Actual str RTHnet w Flux towe 🔶 Outlet gau

Grid setting for SSHO model domain.

- Model domain
- -Total size 0.076 km² with a triangular irregular i grids and 318 nodes
- -River channel represented by 21 river segments –Uniform bedrock depth (2 m)





Configuration of ve (top left), soil type surface elevation simulation domain.

A Watershed-Scale Groundwater-Land-Surface Model

Yuning Shi*, Kenneth Davis*, Christopher Duffy[†], Xuan Yu[†] *Department of Meteorology, the Pennsylvania State University [†] Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, the Pennsylvania State University

		Input data	Source
		Surface elevation	Field survey
dels of		Soil map and parameters	SSURGO
		Vegetation cover and parameters	NLCD 2001
r and land	Input data	Precipitation, air temperature, and RH	RTHnet
gnificant s in short- and	input uata	10-m wind speed, downward longwave radiation, downward solar radiation, and surface pressure	NARR
forecasting		LAI, roughness length	NLCD 2001
		Discharge	RTHnet outlet gauge
ce model surface energy a measurement-	Evaluation data	Water table depth and soil moisture content	RTHnet wells
		Surface heat fluxes and net radiation	RTHnet flux tower
he Penn State nd land-surface	 Simulation from 0000 UTC 01 May to 0000 UTC 01 Sep 2009 Model is spun-up by running from 01 May 2008 to 01 May 2009 Model is calibrated with in-situ measurements using "trial and error" strategy Model time step is 1 minute and output interval is 1 hour 		
nu lanu-sunace		Calibration	
Noah LSM O) in central	Input data	Tuned Model parameters	Input data
ream et) with an array led in SSHO	Model	Predictions	
ui al	Untuned parameters	Evaluation data	Evaluation data
rid vatershed boundary tream path tream path wells ver and weather station auge	Results Hydrologic	c predictions Precipitation — Model	
γ.	Nater table depth (m)	-O.2 bititition of the second	
network of 571	01 ⁰ May 01 Ju	un 01 Jul 01 Aug 01 Sep 0 0.5 1 Date	1.5 2 depth (m)
	2000 (1500 1000 500 500 01 ⁰ May 01 Ju		0.4 Model RTHnet 0.3 () 0.4 0.3 () 0.2 () 0.1 () 0.2 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.2 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.2 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.2 () 0.1 () 0.2 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.2 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.2 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.1 () 0.2 () 0.1 (
eikert assocation aly silt loam vegetation type (top right) and (bottom) of).	discha right) measu over e • Model ca amplitude	arison of hourly water table depth orge (bottom left), soil moisture conte between model simulation and irements, and map of water table dept ntire simulation period (top right) ptures temporal patterns, but tends to s in water table depth and soil moisture roduces flood in June and low flow situa	ent (bottom d RTHnet d averaged o underestimate variation







• Results could be improved by -applying better optimization method -and adopting better physics

Surface energy balance (SEB) predictions 11 Aug

Comparison of sensible heat flux (H), latent heat flux (LE), and net radiation (R_n) (from top to bottom) between model and RTHnet flux tower from 01 Aug to 01 Sep 2009

- reasonably well
- products



60 80 100 120 Sensible heat flux (W m⁻²)

Map of sensible heat flux (left) and latent heat fluxes (right) averaged over entire simulation period



Berks shalv silt loam Ernest silt loam

Future Work

- Questions to answer:
- Study subsurface-land-surface interaction
- Test model on different spatial scales
- Juniata River Basin (~8800 km²)



-using locally measured bedrock depth, soil and map parameters, and vegetation cover and parameters

-Model RTHnet

Model captures temporal variation of surface heat fluxes

Model performance is limited by quality of NARR radiation

100 120 140 80 60 Latent heat flux (W m^{-2})



Simulated sensible heat flux, latent heat flux, ground heat flux (G), and surface skin temperature (T_{skin}) as functions of water table depth

• Land surface variables are affected by topography, soil type, and landcover type, and are correlated to groundwater table

-How does land-surface affect hydrologic predictions? -How does groundwater improve SEB prediction? • Incorporate data assimilation module into model to assimilate insitu measurements and optimize model parameters • Evaluate model on flood/drought prediction at scales up to the